



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Warhington, D.C. 20231 www.ispic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,097	12/12/2001	Saied Ghamaty		8977
75	90 03/31/2003			
Ross Patent Law Office			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2138			SOWARD, IDA M	
Del Mar, CA 92014				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i e		ah.				
	Application No.	plicant(s)				
	10/021,097	GHAMATY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ida M Soward	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>07 January 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	arminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro-	• •					
Attachment(s)	, , , = ===============================					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/021,097

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicants' amendment filed January 7, 2003.

Drawings

The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsner et al. (5,436,467) in view of Hilton et al. (EP 553,982 A1) and Ghamaty et al. (6,096,965).

Elsner et al. teach a thermoelectric module comprised of: a plurality of n-legs 10; very thin alternating layers of two different alloys of boron carbide; a silicon substrate; a plurality of p-legs 12; and the p-legs and n-legs being electrically connected to produce the thermoelectric module. However, admitted Elsner et al. fail to teach alternating layers of silicon and silicon carbide; a Kapton® polyimide substrate, at least 100 very thin alternating layer thickness and the number of alternating layers. Hilton et al.

Art Unit: 2822

teaches alternating layers of silicon and silicon carbide (abstract). Ghamaty et al. teach Kapton® polyimide substrate/film (col. 5, lines 39-49), at least 100 very thin alternating layers that are each about 10 nm thick which is less than 100 nm (col. 2, lines 11-21) and a plurality of very thin alternating layers of about 3,000 layers which is in the range of at least 1250 layers (col. 2, lines 11-21). Since Elsner et al., Hilton et al. and Ghamaty et al. are from the same field of endeavor (semiconductor devices), the purpose disclosed by Ghamaty et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Elsner et al. and Hilton et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the thermoelectric module of Elsner et al. and the alternating layers of silicon and silicon carbide of Hilton et al. by incorporating the substrate, alternating layer thickness and the number of alternating layers of Ghamaty et al. to reduce the lattice thermal conductivity without adversely affecting the electric conductivity (col. 1, lines 37-41).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/021,097

Art Unit: 2822

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respects to thermoelectric modules:

Adelman (5,837,929)

Blaske et al. (4,493,939)

Venkatasubramanian (US 6,300,150 B1)

Wright et al. (5,584,183).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/021,097

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims March 20, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800